ORDER

Bangalore, dated 16th December 1967.

S.O. 37.—WHEREAS by State Government Order No. LMA 624 LLD 66 dated 23rd December 1966 and LMA 621 LLD 66, dated 20th December 1966, the Industrial Dispute between the Workmen and the Management of M/s Venkatramakanth Dress Bellary and M/s Rajan Dress, Bellary were referred to the Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore for adjudication.

AND WHEREAS the Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government of Mysore are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Mysore Gazette*.

[No. LMA 621 LLD 66 and 624 LLD 66.]

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Mysore,

1722 G. G. PUROHIT, *Under Secy.*

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

FOOD, CIVIL SUPPLIES AND LABOUR DEPARTMENT (LABOUR).

Labour Disputes:—Industrial Dispute between the Workmen and the Management of Amalgamated Electricity Co., Belgaum Branch, Belgaum.

Award of the Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore—published.

Read the following papers :—

(1) Letter No. I. T. No. 1735-5/67, dated 23rd December 1967 from Shri A. Panchakshariah, Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Dated 22nd December 1967.

Present:

Sri A. Panchakshariah, B.A., (Hons) LL.B.,

Presiding Officer.

(ID) No. 30 of 1967

The Workmen of the Amalgamated Electricity Co., Ltd., Belgaum Branch, Belgaum. ... *I Party.*

Vs.

The Management of the Amalgamated Electricity Co., Belgaum Branch, Belgaum. *II Party.*

Reference:

(G. O. No. LMA 210 LLD 67 dated 17th May 1967)

AWARD

The workmen of the Amalgamated Electricity Co., Ltd., Belgaum Branch, Belgaum, had raised certain demands against the Management of Amalgamated Electricity Co, Ltd., Belgaum Branch, Belgaum. Those demands are with regard to the promotion of 3 persons *viz.* Sri B. M. Naik, Sri M. B. Naik and Sri I. B. Patel. The promotions asked by them were for the posts of Serviceman and meter charger or to any other equivalent post with effect from 1st April 1966 and for payment of consequent difference in their wages etc. The second demand was with respect to the bonus claimed by the workmen at 16 per cent of their consolidated wages for the year 1965-66 Both these demands were the subject matter of conciliation proceedings and on failure report, the Government of Mysore in exercise of the powers conferred upon them under Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industral Disputes Act 1947, have referred in their Order No. LMA 210 LLD 67 dated 17th May 1967 the dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal. The points of dispute are as follows:

"Are the workers of Amalgamated Electricity Co., Ltd., Belgaum Branch, Belgaum entitled to the demands noted below, or any other relief ?

1 Demand No. 18 :—Sri B. M. Naik, Sri M. B. Naik and Sri I. B. Patil be promoted to the post of Serviceman, Serviceman and meter charger respectively or to any other equivalent post with effect from 1st April 1966 and be paid the consequent difference in their wages etc.

2 Demand No. 19 :—The workers be paid further bonus equal to 16 percent of their consolidated wages for the year 1965 66".

2 On receipt of this Reference, it was registered as I.D. No. 30 of 1967 and the I Party workmen were asked to file a claim statement supporting their demands. Though several chances were given to the I Party workmen to file the claim statements, the I Party workmen did not file the claim statement. The case was ultimately taken up on 18th December 1967 at my Belgaum Camp,

for further proceedings. On that day, Mr. Ram Apte, President of the I party—Workers' Union submitted that the I Party workmen have settled their dispute with the II Party in regard to these demands. He filed a memo stating that as the matter has been settled out of Court, the I Party was not anxious to press this dispute any further. In the circumstances of the case, the reference is rejected as having been settled out of Court. In the circumstances of the case, each Party will bear its own costs.

A. Panchakshariah,
Presiding Officer.